

A Summary of Findings from LakeScan™  
Guided Surveys and Analysis of:

# Lower Straits Lake

## Oakland County

2022 DATA AND ANALYSIS SUMMARY REPORT

2023 MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Submitted by:


**Dr. G. Douglas Pullman, President**

*Aquest Corporation*

and

**Jacob Utrie, Project Scientist**

*Kieser & Associates, LLC*



## Table of Contents

<b>At a Glance</b> .....	1
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	2
Introduction .....	1
Category 100 – Lake and Watershed Characteristics.....	3
Category 200 – Water Quality.....	6
Category 700 – Aquatic Vegetation .....	7
Pre -Season LakeScan™ Survey:.....	7
Early-Season LakeScan™ Survey: .....	7
Late-Season LakeScan™ Survey: .....	7
Category 750 – Lake Management .....	11
References.....	19
Appendices.....	20
Appendix A: Blue Green Algae .....	20
Appendix B: Common Aquatic Invasive Species.....	22
Appendix C. Herbicide Treatment Maps .....	24

## At a Glance ...

- ~ *The Lower Straits Lake Management program is outcome based. Directives and expectations are based on creating and supporting a strong and stable ecosystem that supports a variety of recreational and aesthetic concerns and activities. LakeScan™ monitoring is used to evaluate lake conditions and management outcomes providing empirical evidence that can be used for numerical and statistical analysis. These data and analysis guide the management team which is comprised of lake resident representatives, lake scientists, township representatives, and intervention contractors (applicators and mechanical device operators).*
- ~ *Conditions in Lower Straits Lake were generally considered to be good in 2022. LakeScan™ plant community biodiversity estimates met or exceeded expectations. Species numbers were disappointingly low. Plant community composition was good, but improvement is desired. Critical habitat (LakeScan™ Morphodiversity) was acceptable and adequate to support a fishery. Every effort shall be made to improve conditions in the coming years.*
- ~ *The level of management intervention (herbicides and harvesting) exceeded levels applied to the lake in recent years by a considerable measure. Two herbicide applications provided excellent relief from nuisance conditions. Mechanical harvesting was used for the first time and despite the removal of substantive plant biomass, the results did not meet some lake resident expectations in many areas in the central basin and west bay.*
- ~ *An aggressive herbicide application approach is once again recommended for the management of exotic and invasive curly leaf pondweed and ebrid watermilfoil in 2023. Harvesting is also, but cautiously recommended to provide relief from nuisance conditions that are present before and immediately after the Memorial Day holiday.*
- ~ *The canals at AROS 600 are managed on an “as needed” basis requiring coordination between canal area residents and the management team.*
- ~ *Nuisance water lily growth is managed in the late summer on a rotating basis around the lake perimeter. Approximately 1/3 of waterlily productive areas are treated each year.*

## Executive Summary

The overall goal of the Lower Straits Management and Improvement Program is to create stable ecosystem conditions that provide acceptable and sustainable recreational opportunities, minimize opportunities for hazardous algae blooms, and support a reasonable and rewarding fishery. Extensive LakeScan™ monitoring is conducted each year to provide the empirical data that are used to make certain that the goals of management plan are adequately addressed. The variable nature of lakes and aquatic plant communities demand that the management intervention objectives that are established each year be adaptive and that they address the unique concerns and potential impairments that emerge each summer. Management objectives and decisions are made by consensus agreement of the Lower Straits management contractors (chemical applicators, harvester operators, etc.), lake resident and township representative(s), with the guidance of professional monitoring and management professionals. The Lower Straits improvement program is based on a “no excuses”, outcome-based plan, meaning that management tools are selected each year are tailored to achieve the lake management goals and create a more diverse and stable ecosystem. All options and technologies are considered within the constraints and confines of available monies, relative value, regulatory and safety concerns, and the expressed needs of the Lower Straits Lake resident community.

Because Lower Straits Lake is shallow, the sediments and large plant communities are the primary determinants of the quality of the lake. Water chemistry measurements were done by Aquest in the past and these data have affirmed this assertion. Lower Straits Lake has been afflicted by the presence of both ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed since it was first surveyed by Dr. Pullman in 1988. Starry stonewort was first identified in the lake in 2006. Each year, every possible and permitted management approach is considered to improve conditions on the lake and to provide the best value possible using the funds available to improve the lake ecosystem. For example, a 7-acre area of the lake was reserved for the evaluation of a relatively new aquatic herbicide known as ProcellaCOR in 2021. The outcome of the treatment was very positive, but no better than existing approaches that can be implemented at far less cost. Areas were set aside in 2022 as a demonstration of how mechanical aquatic plant harvesting might be used to enhance the management program. The program outcome was generally positive and is detailed in this report.

Despite some of the funding constraints that have existed in many years, the management program has been remarkably successful in suppressing nuisance conditions caused by exotic invasive plant species for most of each summer recreational use season since the late 1980's. Monitoring data show that the quality and habitat value of the Lower Straits submersed plant community has been sustained and generally improved as a consequence of judicious management. The ecosystem has been stabilized by these efforts as the lake has also been improved for recreational pursuits, including fishing. Despite these considerable efforts, there are still no known means, technologies, or ways to eradicate ebrid watermilfoil, curly leaf pondweed, or starry stonewort once they have become established in a lake.

## Projected 2023 Management Recommendation Summary

The exotic invasive species, ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed are expected to be present in Lower Straits Lake at the extreme nuisance levels, beginning in early May 2023 that have been observed in recent years. Curly leaf pondweed has emerged much earlier Lower Straits Lake than ebrid watermilfoil for the past several years and has been observed at extreme nuisance levels before ebrid watermilfoil becomes highly conspicuous. Starry stonewort had been a serious problem in the lake in previous years but has begun to subside as a major nuisance in recent years.

The typical timing of growth and relative abundance of curly leaf pondweed and ebrid watermilfoil in Lower Straits Lake has resulted in the application of highly species-specific aquatic herbicides in early June of each year. The successional emergence of nuisance conditions caused by these two exotic species mean that significant nuisance conditions in the lake begin to appear before Memorial Day. Herbicide mediated controls have proven not to be effective when applied to the Lower Straits Lake prior to Memorial Day. This is critical because nuisance conditions, particularly those caused by curly leaf pondweed, emerge in early May. In recent years, this early growth represents a significant impairment of recreation until the weeds finally succumb to the herbicide applications made in June. Every submersed aquatic plant management strategy is species selective because individual plant species are more sensitive to the application of a particular strategy or technology and less sensitive to others. This opens the opportunity to selectively manage species within a submersed aquatic plant community. Herbicides are often used because they can precisely target the most notorious weedy species and protect the production of a much larger number of desirable species. Like herbicides, mechanical harvesting is also a species selective lake management tool because different species respond differently to cutting. Mechanical harvesting can encourage the growth of some of the most weedy species because they are more tolerant of cutting. Cutting tolerant species include ebrid watermilfoil, while those plants that are more sensitive to cutting include desirable native Michigan species that are needed to stabilize lake ecosystems. However, when mechanical harvesting is used in the context of an integrated aquatic plant community management plan it can greatly enhance the outcomes of the management program. Used properly and in context in Lower Straits Lake, mechanical harvesting can be used to improve conditions before Memorial Day and at a time when herbicides are not nearly as effective as a control of nuisance growth. Most of the desirable plant species in Michigan inland lakes do not emerge as early in the growth season as do ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed and are too low in the water column to suffer any serious adverse consequences from mechanical harvesting operations when harvesting occurs early in the summer. Mechanical harvesting can be a key component in integrated lake management programs where the objective is to increase the number of weeks of improved recreational conditions. Species targeted and selective strategies, such as herbicide combinations can be used after harvesting operations and when they are most effective to selectively target nuisance ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed growth and still protect desirable native plant growth.

There are numerous herbicide and adjuvant combinations that can provide exceptional species selective control of ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed. Product pricing has increased significantly in the past two years as a consequence of supply chain issues associated with the global pandemic. The management team will select the most species selective and cost-effective combination of agents for suppression of ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed. Early season (May) harvesting may be considered for use in 2023 to extend the recreational season. The selection of agents and timing of the

application will be determined after conditions have been reviewed by the entire lake management team and all options are considered.

The production of nuisance starry stonewort has declined significantly in recent years. Once again, it is not anticipated that starry stonewort control efforts will be required to maintain acceptable recreational and ecological conditions in 2023. However, the first comprehensive LakeScan™ aquatic vegetation survey, conducted in June, will reveal how likely it is that starry stonewort nuisance conditions might form later in the summer.

Water lilies are a critical element in the plant community phyto-architecture that is important for the support of fisheries and ecological stability. MI EGLE policies and regulations constrain the management of waterlilies and limit controls to small areas near boat docks or swimming areas. Selective herbicide treatments are used to manage nuisance waterlily growth in the small areas where controls are permitted. These limited treatments occur in the very late summer and fall when they are most effective and provide treatment for the next summer.

The canals at AROS 600 are very difficult to manage. They have been and will be treated on an “as needed basis” requiring the cooperation of canal residents who communicate with the management team. This is critical since nuisance conditions form unpredictably and quickly in this area of the lake. A newly developed treatment strategy may be available and will be considered in 2023 to reduce ecosystem volatility in this area of the lake.

Thermodynamic conditions are critical considerations for the application of aquatic herbicides and algaecides in the central basin of Lower Straits Lake. This is not normally a primary concern in many lakes but has a dramatic impact on management outcomes in that part of Lower Straits Lake. Experience and guidance from recent scientific literature will be used by the management team in establishing the most effective timing and application methods to provide the best possible management outcomes.

Integrated aquatic plant management is usually the best possible approach to protect or improve aquatic plant communities, stabilize aquatic ecosystems, and maintain acceptable conditions for recreation. The combination of mechanical harvesting and species selective chemical agents has been adopted by several lakes in SE Michigan for effective management of the few species that create recreational impairments and threaten critical ecosystem functions. Integrated management approaches are typically more expensive but are justified by being very effective and extending the active recreational use season. This approach is highly recommended for Lower Straits Lake in 2023.

Responsible lake management is measured by results. LakeScan™ monitoring is still the only system available to quantify and enumerate critical ecosystem metrics and conditions in Michigan inland lakes. Limited to the Aquest K&A team, at this time, Lower Straits Lake has been one of the beneficiary lake communities to receive this type of program service. Not only has the LakeScan™ system been available to provide irrefutable evidence that the lake is being monitored in a meaningful way, but the processes and data generated is also used to discover issues such as the emergence of species hybridity and new plant invasions (starry Stonewort) long before these conditions are typically found in other lakes. These studies allow the evaluation of pre- and post- management intervention outcomes, season-to-season comparisons, critical year-to-year comparisons, and lake-to-lake comparisons and assessments. No lake management program should be conducted without the meaningful empirical evidence to provide

meaningful evaluations of the condition of the lake as each management year progresses. Water quality data and mapping are critical components can be a critical component of any lake monitoring plan, but empirical plant community data is foundational for effective plant community management programs. There are only two companies licensed to conduct LakeScan™ programs in MI. Lower Straits Lake has been a long-time beneficiary of this kind of monitoring.

## Introduction

**Preface:** Lakes are complicated systems. There is no simple way to consider all of the interacting systems within a lake and the impact of watersheds and invasive species invasions on these precious resources. LakeScan™ is a comprehensive system of analysis that is used to properly consider conditions in a lake and make reasonable, scientific and empirically based recommendations for management and improvement of lake ecosystems. All recommendations are based not only on the data presented in this brief report, but are also based on the review of data collected since the inception of the management program in the late 1980's.

**Background:** LakeScan™ is a multi-faceted monitoring and analytical system that provides an empirical analysis of lake conditions and critical quality measures. This analysis provides definitive metrics and relevant perspectives that serve as the basis of management recommendations. Data is reviewed from multiple lake surveys each year and data and observations and in the case of Lower Straits Lake, garnered over more than three decades of data collection and LakeScan™ analysis. Each lake survey includes a comprehensive mapping of aquatic vegetation present in the lake. Water clarity, dissolved oxygen profiles, and temperature profiles have also become a part of the standard survey protocol. LakeScan™ calculates a series of metrics representative of the health of the lake ecosystem, as well as the nuisance threat presented by invasive and weedy species. In addition to providing a measure of lake health, these metrics allow for a comparison of lake conditions on a year-to-year basis as well as a comparison with other lakes. The survey data and the maps generated from by LakeScan™ analysis are used to provide treatment and intervention recommendations, when necessary. Recommendations are made in the context of these data and it is always intended that interventions and actions always result in improvements and ensure no further degradation of the lake ecosystem.

**Data Collection Methods:** A LakeScan™ analysis involves collecting data over two vegetation surveys. These surveys are based on a system where the lake is first divided into biological tiers (Table 1 and Figure 1) and then further subdivided into Aquatic Resource Observation Sites (AROS; Figure 2). For each survey, field personnel record the density, distribution, and position in the water column of each aquatic plant species in each AROS, as well as noting any present nuisance conditions. Aquatic plant communities change over the course of a year, so the surveys are split into early and late-season observations. Early-season surveys are scheduled with the goal of taking place within 10 days of early-summer treatments to best observe treatment-targeted and non-targeted vegetation. However, this scheduling is subject to weather and times of increased boat activity.

*Table 1 - Biological Tier Descriptions*

Tier	Description
2	Emergent Wetland
3	Near Shore
4	Off Shore
5	Off Shore, Drop-Off
6	Canals
7	Around Islands and Sandbars
9	Off Shore Island Drop-Off



**Vegetation Survey Observations:** The primary goal of aquatic plant management in Lower Straits Lake, Oakland County, MI, is to preserve, protect, and if possible, improve the biodiversity of the flora and fauna of the lake. Key findings from the June 23 and August 11, 2022 intensive LakeScan™ vegetation surveys of Lower Straits Lake include:

- Overall, combined species biodiversity and structural diversity scoring for Lower Straits Lake has improved but continues to narrowly miss predetermined goals. These data suggest that there is room for improvement in critical fish habitat. Vegetation Quality Index scoring also illustrate that improvements are needed in the percent cover and relative dominance of desirable submersed aquatic plant species, such as native Michigan species. Nuisance conditions were vastly improved relative to 2022 and exceeded management goals. Improved nuisance conditions and ecosystem quality metrics are likely the result of effective herbicide application; however, other factors may influence this improvement. Species selective control strategies are foundational for the improvement in key LakeScan™ metric values in Lower Straits Lake.
- The early-season LakeScan™ vegetation survey was conducted on June 23, 2022. The most common native plant species observed in Lower Straits Lake were *Chara* (*Chara sp.*), Hybrid pondweeds (*Potamogeton* hybrid), white-waterlily (*Nymphaea sp.*), and spatterdock (*Nuphar sp.*). Each of these species were observed throughout many of the nearshore AROs. Invasive species observed included Eurasian watermilfoil hybrid, or Ebrid, (*Myriophyllum spicatum x sibiricum*) and starry stonewort (*Nitellopsis obtusa*).
- The late season LakeScan™ vegetation survey was conducted on August 11, 2022. Native aquatic species observed include *Chara*, naiad (*Najas sp.*), spiny naiad (*Najas marina*), Hybrid pondweed, sago pondweed (*Stuckenia pectinata*), water celery (*Vallisneria americana*), spatterdock, and white-waterlily. Potentially aquatic invasive species observed within Lower Straits Lake included Ebrid watermilfoil and starry stonewort.

The following sections describe the lake and watershed characteristics, field water quality measurements, results of the aquatic vegetation surveys and aquatic vegetation management activities and recommendations.

## Category 100 – Lake and Watershed Characteristics

This section provides an overview of physical and geopolitical characteristics of the lake and its watershed, as well as illustrations of tier layouts (Figure 1) and AROS (Figure 2) used for vegetation surveys. A summary of watershed land-use composition is included in Figure 3. The lake is shallow and all but a few areas are capable of supporting rooted aquatic plant growth.

### **Location**

County: Oakland

Township: Commerce

GPS Coordinates: 42.58356, -83.46297

### **Morphometry**

Total Area: 235 acres

Maximum Depth: 22 feet

Mean Depth 3.8 feet

### **Watershed Factors**

Tributaries: Middle Straits Lake

Outlet type: Dam on northwest corner of the western lobe of the lake

---



Figure 1. Map of biological Tiers.




Figure 2. Map of Aquatic Resource Observation Sites (AROS)

## Category 200 – Water Quality

Secchi depth, dissolved oxygen and temperature data were collected at the deepest point in the lake during each vegetation survey (Figures 4 and 5). Secchi disk transparency is the depth at which a Secchi disk (a flat white or black and white platter, approximately 20 centimeters in diameter) suspended into a lake disappears from the investigator's sight. In general, the greater depth at which the Secchi disk can be viewed, the lower the productivity of the water body. Secchi depth readings of greater than 15 feet can be indicative of low productivity or oligotrophic conditions.<sup>1</sup> It is important to note that established populations of zebra mussels in a lake can significantly increase water clarity, thus resulting in greater Secchi disk readings.

A sufficient supply of dissolved oxygen (DO) in lake water is necessary for most forms of desirable aquatic life. Colder waters contain more dissolved oxygen than warmer waters. Oxygen depletion can occur in deeper, unmixed bottom waters during warmer summer months in highly productive lakes. Increased algal growth associated with additional nutrients in the lake can lead to severe decreases in DO in lake bottom waters. This decrease in oxygen is due, in part, to dead algae and other organic matter, such as rooted plant material broken away from shoreline areas and leaves, grass and other plant debris washed in from shoreline lawns and storm drains settling to the bottom of the lake and decaying. This decay process is performed by organisms that consume oxygen and by chemical reactions in the sediment. The DO impacts are most often observed in bottom waters during periods of temperature stratification in warmer summer months and, to a lesser degree, under winter ice cover conditions.

Dissolved oxygen levels and temperature were measured using a YSI ProODO dissolved oxygen meter, calibrated prior to use. Michigan water quality standards for surface waters designated for warm water fish and aquatic life call for a DO of at least 5 mg/L.<sup>2</sup> Temperature and DO concentrations during the early and late-season surveys were relatively uniform from the surface to the lake bottom. Both parameters fell within the range of desirable conditions for fish and aquatic life.

Extensive water quality monitoring was conducted from 1992 to 1993. A wide range of parameters were measured to establish baseline conditions on the lake and to determine key determinants of water quality in Lower Straits Lake. Unsurprisingly, these data revealed water quality conditions in the lake are largely determined by water sediment interactions mediated to a significant degree by vegetation cover and recreational boating activity. It is commonly observed that water transparency and other critical water quality parameter values are influenced and in some cases, diminished by the suspension of bottom sediments that occurs as a consequence of recreational motor boating activity. These conditions are particularly obvious after weekends. Despite these high levels of cultural and recreational disturbance, nutrient concentrations in the lake do not exceed reasonable levels for a lake in Southeastern Michigan. Based on open water chemistry, the lake would be considered to be mesotrophic to eutrophic depending on recreational activity and the timing of sampling.

---

<sup>1</sup> US Geological Survey. 2012. "Water Quality Characteristics of Michigan's Inland Lakes, 2001-10." Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5233. Available online at: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5233/>.

<sup>2</sup> Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. 2006. "Part 4-Water Quality Standards." Water Bureau, Water Resources Protection. Available online at: [http://dmbinternet.state.mi.us/DMB/ORRDocs/AdminCode/302\\_10280\\_AdminCode.pdf](http://dmbinternet.state.mi.us/DMB/ORRDocs/AdminCode/302_10280_AdminCode.pdf).

## Category 700 – Aquatic Vegetation

This section details findings from the two vegetation surveys that were conducted on the lake. This includes observations, aquatic vegetation mapping, and LakeScan™ analysis metrics as discussed below and presented in Tables 2-5 and Figures 6-9. Maps in Figures 6 and 7 show results from early and late-season surveys, respectively, combining results for all species. Figures 10-13 show maps of key nuisance plant species.

### Pre -Season LakeScan™ Survey:

The annual pre-season review of lake conditions was conducted on 24 May 2022. It was attended by Aquest and representatives of the resident communities. The township and contractors declined to attend as they had done in 2021. A copy of the report is included in the appendix of this document. Curly leaf pondweed had reached maximum production levels by this date and was beginning to show signs of decline. Ebrid watermilfoil had established an understory of dense vegetation that would ultimately supplant curly leaf pondweed and grow to a considerable nuisance level throughout the lake. Harvesting operations were in process during the survey and a prodigious quantity of curly leaf pondweed was being removed from the lake by multiple machines. Plans were made to continue the harvesting operations and for a very large-scale herbicide treatment program to be applied in the middle of June. It was agreed that the canal at AROS 600's would be treated upon request and as often as needed to respond to unpredictable and recurrent algae problems that form in that area of the lake.

### Early-Season LakeScan™ Survey:

The early-season LakeScan™ vegetation survey for Lower Straits Lake was conducted on June 23, 2022. The most common native plant species observed in Lower Straits Lake were *Chara*, Hybrid pondweeds, water lily, and spatterdock. Each of these species were observed throughout many of the nearshore AROSs. Due to hybridization, variable pondweed and Illinois pondweed have been “lumped together” and are represented as medium leaf pondweeds. These “native” pondweeds had reached nuisance levels in many AROS in 2020 and some prior years; however, they were not present at significant nuisance levels in 2021 or 2022. The nuisance production of these probably hybrids is a matter of concern and will be watched closely in 2023.

Notoriously invasive and exotic species observed during the early-season survey included Ebrid watermilfoil and starry stonewort. Curly leaf pondweed was very abundant and dominant prior to the Memorial Day holiday but declines naturally before the Fourth of July Holiday. It had completed its cycle of production and collapse in Lower Straits Lake, much earlier than other nearby lakes. It was not present during the late June survey as it had already declined. Ebrid watermilfoil did exhibit nuisance conditions in numerous Tier 3 and 4 AROS. Greater densities of Ebrid were commonly observed at the 4-6ft contour (Figure 10). Starry stonewort was observed at the greatest frequency of all of the exotic potentially invasive and nuisance species. However, it was present at typical nuisance levels during most of 2022 conditions and was commonly intermixed with *Chara* in the locations that it was found.

### Late-Season LakeScan™ Survey:

The late-season LakeScan™ vegetation survey of Lower Straits Lake was conducted on August 11, 2022. Native aquatic species observed include *Chara*, naiad, hybrid medium leaf pondweed, sago pondweed, water celery, spatterdock, and white-waterlily. Overall, these species were observed at much lower densities throughout the lake compared with observations made during the early-season survey.

---

*Chara* and hybrid pondweed were the most abundant native submerged aquatic species within Lower Straits Lake. Both species were commonly observed together, nearshore, with wild celery appearing intermittently. Hybrid pondweed and wild celery were occasionally observed growing at or slightly below the water's surface but did not appear to hinder recreational activities on the lake.

Additionally, white-waterlily and spatterdock were widely distributed throughout Lower Straits Lake at varying densities. Occasionally, these species were observed at high densities in front of and around residents' docks which may hamper boat access to the lake. Most instances of this occurred on the southern and eastern shorelines.

Aquatic invasive species observed within Lower Straits Lake included Ebrid watermilfoil and starry stonewort. Ebrid watermilfoil was widely distributed throughout the nearshore but was generally observed at low densities. Ebrid was only observed causing recreational nuisances within the eastern basin. Starry stonewort was the most abundant and widely distributed aquatic invasive species observed within Lower Straits Lake. Starry stonewort was observed within most AROs but was not creating any recreational nuisance conditions at the time of this survey. Nearshore, starry stonewort was typically or occasionally found intermixed with *Chara* at low densities and found regularly as the only species found within the deeper basins, within the 5ft to 9 ft contours.

Four important lake characteristics for defining aquatic plant conditions are presented here for the 2022 annual findings on lake health (Table 2). 'Richness' metrics are counts of either species or morphology (plant structure) types that were observed in the lake. 'Index' metrics are scores indicative of different aspects of lake health. The range of possible index scores is 1 to 100 with a higher score indicating better conditions in relation to management goals assigned for your lake. Annual metrics are also compared here to last year's metrics and include:

- Species Richness – the number of species present in the lake
- BioD60 T2+ Index – a measure of the health of the plant community in your lake
- Morphological Richness – the number of morphology types present in the lake
- MorphoD26 Index – reflects the habitat value of vegetation for fish and other aquatic animals

Table 2. 2022 LakeScan™ Metric Results

LakeScan™ Metric	Score Category	Useful in Describing Conditions For:	VS 3 June Survey	VS 5 August Survey	Goal
Species Richness	Biodiversity	Ecosystem Health	12	15	20
BioD 60 Index	Biodiversity	Ecosystem Health	52	53	50
MorphoD 26 Index	Structural Diversity	Fish Habitat	53	59	35
Vegetation Quality (Mean Weighted "C")	Nuisance Condition	Ecosystem Health	4.7	4.8	5

Table 3. LakeScan™ Metrics Results History.

Year	Season Average Species Richness	Season Average BioD 60	Season Average MorphoD 26	Season Average Mean Weighted Veg. Quality
2022	14	52	59	3
2021	14	52	59	4
2020	15	53	59	4

Table 4. A summary or overview of plant community conditions in 2022. D/D is the combined plant species density and distribution estimates that are made at each AROS during a typical LakeScan™ survey.

**LakeScan™ Plant Community Characteristics**

	VS 3	VS 5
Total Veg AROS	161	160
% Vegetated AROS	95%	95%
Total Distinct Communities	161	160
Species Richness	12	15
Ave Species Richness at AROS	4	3
Weighted Mean AROS Dens/Dist	35	36
Simple Mean C	4.8	4.9
Mean D/D AROS Weighted C	4.7	4.8
Simple Mean Morpho	12	12
Mean D/D AROS Weighted Morpho	9	12
Number of PNL Species	2	2
Mean Number of PNL Species at AROS	2.0	1.6



Table 5. Submersed Aquatic Plant Species Observed in 2022.

Species			"C" Value	VS 3 AROS Occurrence	VS 5 AROS Occurrence	VS 3 AROS Dominance Score	VS 5 AROS Dominance Score
Code #	Common Name	Scientific Name					
2	Eurasian Watermilfoil Hybrid	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum x sibiricum</i>	3	78%	38%	20	14
25	Common Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia</i>	7	2%	1%	0	0
50	Naiad	<i>Najas</i>	4		11%		3
60	Chara	<i>Chara sp.</i>	6	79%	66%	28	26
65	Starry Stonewort	<i>Nitellopsis obtusa (Desv.) J.Groves</i>	3	74%	59%	22	24
70	Native Moss	<i>Drepanocladus</i>	6		3%		1
83	Purple Loosestrife (sub)	<i>Lythrum salicaria L.</i>	2	2%	7%	0	2
86	Swamp Loosestrife (sub)	<i>Decodon verticillatus (L.) Ell.</i>	5	4%	4%	1	1
100	Medium Leaf Hybrid Pondweed	<i>Potamogeton Hybrid</i>	7	49%	36%	10	11
115	Sago Pondweed	<i>Stuckenia Hybrid</i>	3	4%	2%	1	0
117	Thin Leaf Pondweed	<i>Thin Leaf Potamogeton sp.</i>	5		1%		0
125	Wild Celery	<i>Vallisneria americana Michaux</i>	3	7%	7%	1	2
137	Rush	<i>Juncus pelocarpus Meyer [f. submersus Fasset]</i>	7	1%	3%	0	1
150	Waterlily	<i>Nymphaea sp.</i>	6	49%	43%	13	15
153	Spadderdock	<i>Nuphar sp.</i>	6	11%	2%	4	0

## Category 750 – Lake Management

There are several species that typically become a nuisance in Michigan’s inland lakes (See Appendix B). These species are usually targeted for very selective control to prevent them from becoming an aesthetic or recreational nuisance and to protect desirable plants that are part of lake floras. This section includes an analysis on nuisance conditions in the lake, as well as a description of any management actions that were taken.

Perceived nuisance level (PNL) is determined at each AROS during vegetation surveys and is summarized in Table 5 below. PNL is a value that ranges from 0 to 3 that incorporates plant species and plant height in the water column with in-field observations of species location within the lake and in-lake structures (i.e., surrounds a dock, within the ski lane, in front of the boat launch). Before a PNL is assigned, a species is determined to be either an ecological nuisance, a recreational nuisance, or both. An ecological nuisance is identified as a species that is invasive or non-native to Michigan that seriously threatens the biodiversity of the plant community, ecosystem functions, and overall stability of the lake ecosystem. Recreational nuisance is assigned to species that may impair or inhibit boat traffic or swimming ability at the time of the survey. Recreational nuisance can be assigned to both native and invasive/non-native species. PNL 0 is assigned to plant species that are native and do not create a recreational nuisance. PNL 1 indicates ecological nuisance species that do not pose a recreational nuisance. PNL 2 describes native plant species that are a recreational nuisance. PNL 3 indicates ecological nuisance species that also create a recreational nuisance. The maximum PNL value that is found at each AROS during all seasonal LakeScan™ surveys is used for this analysis. The total number of AROS acres is summed for each of the 3 PNL levels and the “no nuisance” AROS (PNL 0). The first column is the percentage of the total AROS acres that are assigned each PNL value. The total and species-specific PNL summaries are presented in Figure 9 below.


Table 6. AROS Perceived Nuisance Level Summary

% Total AROS Acres	PNL Level	Perceived Nuisance Level Description	Total AROS Acres
5%	PNL 0	No Nuisance	9
95%	PNL 1	Ecological Nuisance	183
0%	PNL 2	Equivocal Nuisance	0
0%	PNL 3	Obvious Nuisance	0

Table 7. An assessment of Perceived Nuisance Levels During Early and Late-Season LakeScan™ Surveys


Species Code #	Common Name	VS 3 AROS PNL	VS 5 AROS PNL	TmtZ 10 AROS (Acres) Treated	TmtZ 20 AROS (Acres) Treated
2	Eurasian Watermilfoil Hybrid	45%	22%	99 (152)	25 (29)
25	Common Bladderwort				
50	Naiad				
60	Chara				
65	Starry Stonewort	43%	34%		
70	Native Moss				
83	Purple Loosestrife (sub)				
86	Swamp Loostrife (sub)				
100	Medium Leaf Hybrid Pondweed				
115	Sago Pondweed				
117	Thin Leaf Pondweed				
125	Wild Celery				
137	Rush				
150	Waterlily				
153	Spadderdock				

VS 3 AROS Nuisance Conditions



- Vegetated No Perceived Nuisance
- Ecological or Threatening Nuisance
- Equivocal Recreation Nuisance
- Unequivocal Recreation Nuisance Conditions

VS 5 AROS Nuisance Conditions



- Vegetated No Perceived Nuisance
- Ecological or Threatening Nuisance
- Equivocal Recreation Nuisance
- Unequivocal Recreation Nuisance Conditions

Figure 9. Total and Species-specific Perceived Nuisance Levels at the time of two LakeScan™ surveys. Note: Interventions are often implemented before unequivocal nuisance conditions are formed.

## Lower Straits Lake Management History

The overall goal of the Lower Straits Management and Improvement Program is to create stable and sustainable ecosystem conditions that are necessary to provide acceptable and sustainable recreational opportunities, including fishing. Extensive LakeScan™ monitoring is conducted each year to make certain that the goals of management plan are adequately addressed. The variable nature of lakes and aquatic plant communities demand that the management intervention objectives that are established each year be adaptive. Management objectives and decisions are made by consensus agreement of the Lower Straits management contractors (chemical applicators, harvester operators, aeration installers, etc.), lake resident and township representative(s), with the guidance of professional monitoring and management professionals. The Lower Straits improvement program is outcome-based, meaning that management tools are selected to achieve the lake management goals and create a more diverse and stable ecosystem. All options are considered within the constraints and confines of available monies, relative value, regulatory and safety concerns, and the expressed needs of the Lower Straits Lake resident community.

Lower Straits Lake has been afflicted by the presence of both ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed since it was first surveyed by Dr. Pullman in 1988. Hybrid watermilfoil was suspected to be present in the lake in 1991 and confirmed by the pioneering work done by the researchers at the University of Connecticut, Les and Moody, in 2003. Starry stonewort was first identified in the lake in 2006. Each year, every conceivable management approach has been considered to improve conditions on the lake and to provide the best value possible using the funds available to improve the lake ecosystem. Despite some of the funding constraints that have existed in some years, the management program has been remarkably successful in suppressing nuisance conditions caused by these three invasive species for most of each summer recreational use season since the late 1980's. Monitoring data show that the quality and habitat value of the Lower Straits submersed plant community has been sustained and generally improved as a consequence of judicious management. The ecosystem has been stabilized by these efforts as the lake has also been improved for recreational pursuits, including fishing.

*Table 8. Notable submersed aquatic vegetation events since the beginning of LakeScan™ monitoring.*

1988	Vegetation Community Monitoring for Lake Health Begins
1991	First Autumnal Fluridone (Sonar) Application Made to any Lake for Milfoil Control
1992	Recognition of Possible Watermilfoil Hybridity by MI DNR and Aquest
2003	Confirmation of Watermilfoil Hybridity (first of 3 MI lakes)
2006	Discovery of Starry Stonewort (part of only a dozen lakes)
2008	Development of Enhanced Methods for Starry Stonewort Control
2010	Development of Enhanced Methods to Control Increasingly Management Resistant Ebrid Watermilfoil (Aquest and U of M Researchers)
2021	Limited trial of a New Herbicide, ProcellaCOR, for Ebrid Watermilfoil Control
2022	Mechanical Harvesting Trial for Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed Management to Extend Recreation Season

---

Each year, nuisance conditions caused by the relative abundance of noxious and invasive species have varied so the final management strategy decisions are not made until a pre-management season inspection is made around the Memorial Day Holiday. The 2022 pre-season survey was conducted on 24 May. Conditions are also monitored throughout the recreational use season and adjustments are made to include late-season interventions when they have been necessary to sustain ecosystem integrity and recreational values. The selective suppression or eradication of exotic invasive species has always been an objective of the management program. Late season applications of nuisance species selective phenoxy herbicides and fluridone have been used to suppress or eradicate ebrid water milfoil in Lower Straits Lake in previous years. Various combinations of State and Federal approved and registered herbicides and algaecides have also been used each year to ameliorate the adverse impacts of unrestrained invasive species growth. Despite these considerable efforts, there are still no known means or ways to eradicate ebrid watermilfoil, curly leaf pondweed, or starry stonewort once they have become established in a lake.

Lower Straits Lake has always been at the forefront of lakes in the U.S. where new management strategies are tested. Recently, and consistent with the adaptive approach taken to the management of Lower Straits Lake plant communities, a 7-acre area of the lake was reserved for the evaluation of a relatively new aquatic herbicide known as ProcellaCOR in 2021. The outcome of the treatment was very positive, but no better than existing approaches that can be implemented at far less cost. The treatment outcome was consistent with the outcome of treatments made on several other regional lakes and it was chosen as a management option by the Lower Straits management team in 2022.

*Table 9: Efforts expended in recent years to ameliorate the impact of the large plant nuisance species, ebrid watermilfoil, curly leaf pondweed and starry stonewort in Lower Straits Lake, exclusive of canal and water lily treatments.*

YEAR	Total Tmtz	Target Plants and Algae	Total Treated AROS Acres
2016	2	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	27
		Algae and Starry Stonewort	83
2017	2	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	34
		Algae and Starry Stonewort	13
2018	2	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	28
		Algae and Starry Stonewort	93
2019	1	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	72
2020	1	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	98
		Algae and Starry Stonewort	21
2021	1	Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	85
2022	2	Herbicides - Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	169
	1	Harvesting - Ebrid Watermilfoil and Curly Leaf Pondweed	30

## Management 2022

**Harvesting:** Mechanical aquatic plant harvesting was facilitated on a trial basis in 2022. A harvesting event was conducted during in late May with the intent was to remove curly leaf pondweed biomass and ameliorate nuisance conditions around the important Memorial Day Holiday. This was done to expand the recreational “use” season in the lake around this key holiday. Expectations were that conditions would be improved for boating for several weeks in the early summer, but that there would be substantial regrowth of cut plants that would require subsequent, herbicide mediated species selective control efforts. It should be noted that curly leaf pondweed was present at higher-than-normal production levels during the initial harvesting event.

A second harvesting event occurred in August and focused on the canals and west bays where oxygen depletion was a concern for herbicide application or where herbicide use is limited by State permit.

Lake resident responses were mixed. Many correctly believed that the cutting depth was not sufficient to provide good extended control and nuisance relief. This was noted and would be communicated to contractors if mechanical harvesting is to remain a critical part of the management program. Other residents complained that broken plant parts (flotsam) were creating nuisance conditions on shorelines. However, the amount of flotsam was no greater than that associated with typical mechanical plant harvesting operations and seemed to be well within reasonable expectations. Overall, resident response to the trial harvesting program could be described as “tepid”. Operational improvements are possible, but the cost to provide marginally better recreational conditions near the Memorial Day Holiday needs to be reconsidered.

**Herbicides:** Ebrid watermilfoil was present as an understory and was only marginally affected by the mechanical harvesting operation. Remarkably, harvesting and the natural decline of curly leaf pondweed resulted in the plant not being present during the first LakeScan™ survey. However, ebrid water milfoil appeared to have been released from competition and rapidly grew to abnormally high nuisance levels. A very large area herbicide treatment was applied on 15 May and provided good control of the nuisance ebrid watermilfoil and created favorable conditions for the Fourth of July holiday. A much smaller herbicide application was made in August to improve conditions for the late summer/early autumn.

**Herbicides and Harvesting Summary:** As stated previously, curly leaf pondweed grew to prodigious levels in May 2022 and harvesting removed substantial curly leaf pondweed biomass. However, ebrid watermilfoil was present just below the curly leaf pondweed and was released from competition by the removal of the curly leaf pondweed surface vegetation canopy. Ebrid watermilfoil growth “exploded” and rapidly grew to create significant nuisance levels.

23 of the 26 AROS (88%) that were harvested in late May required herbicide treatment by early June to ameliorate nuisance conditions. 31 AROS were included in an August herbicide treatment, but none of those AROS had been previously treated during the initial June herbicide application. 8 AROS that had been previously harvested were treated during the August herbicide application. The canals were included in all of the major 2022 lake treatments and had been harvested in May, treated with herbicides in June, and were harvested again in August. Algae had also been treated at other times.

---

Table 10. The acres and AROS treated by herbicides and harvesting in 2022.

	1st Harvest	1st Herbicide	2nd Herbicide	2nd harvest
Total Acres Treated	29	152	29	7
Total AROS Treated	15	99	25	16
% of All AROS Treated	9%	59%	15%	9%

**The Canals:** Ebrid watermilfoil, curly leaf pondweed, wild celery, starry stonewort and unsightly filamentous algae blooms all afflict the canals (AROS 600’s) at completely unpredictable times during the course of the submersed plant growing season. Hence, residents report conditions to the management team and appropriate responses are implemented, depending on the timing and nature of the nuisance condition. Given the nature and rapid development of nuisance conditions that typically form in the canals, extraordinary efforts may be applied to these AROS to ameliorate these impairments. Concerns for low oxygen conditions that occur in the warmest months has precluded treatment of the canals with herbicides in some years because of the threat of fish kills. These can occur as a consequence of oxygen depression that can follow herbicide treatments as the treated vegetation decays. A late summer harvesting event was used in 2022 to remove nuisance plant and algae growth when water temperatures were too high to use herbicides and algaecides.

**Waterlilies:** Waterlilies normally wax and wane from year to year because of the presence of a wide range of herbivores and possibly pathogens. However, they have continued to grow at increasing nuisance levels in Lower Straits Lake in recent years. Each year, nuisance waterlilies are treated in the late summer to provide good conditions for the subsequent years. Approximately 1/3 of areas afflicted by nuisance waterlily growth are treated each year. The areas treated cycle around the lake from year to year, and again at around 1/3 of the total waterlily nuisance area.

## Management 2023

Ebrid The exotic invasive species, ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed are expected to be present in Lower Straits Lake at extreme nuisance levels in 2023. Curly leaf pondweed has emerged much earlier Lower Straits Lake than ebrid watermilfoil in recent years. It has been observed at extreme nuisance levels before ebrid watermilfoil becomes highly conspicuous. Starry stonewort had been a serious problem in the lake in previous years but has begun to subside as a major nuisance in recent years.

The typical timing of growth and relative abundance of curly leaf pondweed and ebrid watermilfoil in Lower Straits Lake has resulted in the application of highly species-specific aquatic herbicides in early June of each year. Ebrid watermilfoil production has lagged behind curly leaf pondweed in recent years and this has delayed the application of control agents that simultaneously and selectively act upon both exotic species. These agents are used because they can pin-point the growth of nuisance species and preserve and enhance the production of desirable plant species production in Lower Straits Lake which is necessary to stabilize critical ecosystem functions. Unfortunately, the successional emergence of nuisance conditions caused by these two exotic species mean that extreme nuisance conditions in the lake begin to appear before Memorial Day. However, herbicide controls are not as effective when applied to the Lower Straits Lake prior to Memorial Day and the emergence of nuisance conditions, particularly curly leaf pondweed, in Lower Straits Lake. Recreation is hampered by these exotic species until they finally succumb to the herbicide applications made in June. Mechanical harvesting is also a species selective lake management tool but it will typically encourage the growth of weedy species that are more tolerant of cutting, such as ebrid watermilfoil, over the more desirable native Michigan species that are needed to stabilize lake ecosystems. However, mechanical harvesting can be used to improve conditions before Memorial Day and at a time when herbicides are not nearly as effective as a control of nuisance growth. Furthermore, many of the desirable plant species in Michigan inland lakes do not emerge as early in the growth season as do ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed and are too low in the water column to suffer any adverse consequences of mechanical harvesting operations when harvesting occurs early in the summer. Consequently, harvesting can be used responsibly as part of integrated management programs where the objective is to increase the number of weeks of improved recreational conditions. Species targeted and selective strategies, such as herbicide combinations can be used after harvesting operations and when they are most effective to suppress nuisance ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed growth and still protect desirable native plant growth.

There are numerous herbicide and adjuvant combinations that can provide exceptional species selective control of ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed. There is extreme volatility in product pricing as a result of supply chain issues in 2022. The management team will select the most species selective and cost-effective combination of agents for suppression of ebrid watermilfoil and curly leaf pondweed in areas of the lake that are not harvested and those areas of the lake where harvesting has occurred, but the exotic species are observed to be recovering. The selection of agents and timing of the application will be determined after conditions have been reviewed.

Starry stonewort nuisance production has declined significantly in recent years. It is not anticipated that starry stonewort control efforts will be required to maintain acceptable recreational and ecological conditions in 2022. However, the first comprehensive aquatic vegetation survey, conducted in June, will reveal how likely it is that starry stonewort nuisance conditions might form later in the summer.



Water lilies are a critical element in the plant community phyto-architecture that is important for the support of fisheries and ecological stability. MI EGLE policies and regulations constrain the management of waterlilies and limit controls to small areas near boat docks or swimming areas. Selective herbicide treatments are used to managed nuisance waterlily growth in the small areas where controls are permitted. These limited treatments occur in the very late summer and fall when they are most effective and provide treatment for the next summer.

The canals near AROS 600 continue to be problematic. Nuisance conditions form rapidly and unpredictably. Because nuisance conditions arise so quickly in this area, it is incumbent upon canal residents to notify the management team when nuisance conditions arise. The management team can then implement appropriate responses based on this input. A new strategy for stabilizing the canal ecosystem may be considered in 2023.

Integrated aquatic plant management is usually the best possible approach to protect or improve aquatic plant communities, stabilize aquatic ecosystems, and maintain acceptable conditions for recreation. The combination of mechanical harvesting and species selective chemical agents has been adopted by several lakes in SE Michigan for effective management of the few species that create recreational impairments and threaten critical ecosystem functions. Integrated management approaches are typically more expensive but are justified by being very effective and extending the active recreational use season. This approach is highly recommended for Lower Straits Lake in 2023.

Responsible lake management is measured by results. LakeScan™ monitoring is still the only system available to quantify and enumerate critical ecosystem metrics and conditions in Lower Straits Lake. These studies allow the evaluation of pre- and post- management intervention outcomes, season-to-season comparisons, critical year-to-year comparisons, and lake-to-lake comparisons and assessments. No lake management program should be conducted without the empirical evidence to provide meaningful evaluations of the condition of the lake as each management year progresses. There are only two companies licensed to conduct LakeScan™ programs in MI. Lower Straits Lake has been a long-time beneficiary of this kind of monitoring.

## References

- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. 2006. "Part 4-Water Quality Standards." Water Bureau, Water Resources Protection. Available online at:  
[http://dmbinternet.state.mi.us/DMB/ORRDocs/AdminCode/302\\_10280\\_AdminCode.pdf](http://dmbinternet.state.mi.us/DMB/ORRDocs/AdminCode/302_10280_AdminCode.pdf).
- US Geological Survey. 2012. "Water Quality Characteristics of Michigan's Inland Lakes, 2001-10." Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5233. Available online at:  
<https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5233/>.
-

## Appendices

### Appendix A: Blue Green Algae

Blue green algae blooms are becoming increasingly common in Michigan. Blooms can appear as though green latex paint has been spilled on the water or resemble an oil slick in enclosed bays or along leeward shores. Blue green algae blooms are usually temporal events and may disappear as rapidly as they appear. Blue green algae blooms are becoming more common for a variety of reasons; however, the spread and impact of zebra mussels has been closely associated with blooms of blue green algae.



*Figure A1: Example blue green algae images from the 2020 LakeScan™ field crew.*

Blue green algae are really a form of bacteria known as cyanobacteria. They are becoming an important issue for lake managers, riparian property owners and lake users because studies have revealed that substances made and released into the water by some of these nuisance algae can be toxic or carcinogenic. They are known to have negative impacts on aquatic ecosystems and can potentially poison and sicken pets, livestock, and wildlife. Blue green algae can have both direct and indirect negative impacts on fisheries. Persons can be exposed to the phytotoxins by ingestion or dermal absorption (through the skin). They can also be exposed to toxins by inhalation of aerosols created by overhead irrigation, strong winds, and boating activity.

Approximately one half of blue green algae blooms contain phytotoxins, and this is determined through lab testing. It is recommended that persons not swim in waters where blue green algae blooms are conspicuously present. Specifically, persons should avoid contact with water where blooms appear as though green latex paint has been spilled on the water, or where the water in enclosed bays appears to be covered by an “oil slick”. Pets should be prevented from drinking from tainted water. Since blue green algae toxins can enter the human body through the lungs as aerosols, it is suggested that water containing obvious blue green algae blooms not be used for irrigation in areas where persons may be exposed to it.

Blue green algae are not very good competitors with other, more desirable forms of algae. They typically bloom and become a nuisance when resources are limiting or when biotic conditions reach certain extremes. Some of the reasons that blue green algae can bloom and become noxious are listed below:

**TP and TN:** The total phosphorus (TP) concentration in a water resource is usually positively correlated with the production of suspended algae (but not rooted plants, i.e. seaweed). Very small amounts of phosphorus may result in large algae blooms. If the ratio of total nitrogen (TN) to total phosphorus is low (<20), suspended algae production may become nitrogen limited and noxious blue green algae may

dominate a system because they are able to “fix” their own nitrogen from atmospheric sources. Other common and desirable algae are not able to do this.

**Free Carbon Dioxide:** All plants, including algae, use carbon dioxide in photosynthesis. Alkalinity, pH, temperature, and the availability of free carbon dioxide are all closely related and inter-regulated in what can be referred to as a lake water buffering system. Concentrations of these key water constituents will shift to keep pH relatively constant. Carbon dioxide is not very soluble (think about the bubbles of carbon dioxide that escape soda pop). The availability of this essential substance can be in short supply in lake water. Many blue green algae contain gas “bubbles” that allow them to float upward in the water column toward the water surface where they can access carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. Consequently, blue green algae that can float have a competitive advantage in lakes where carbon dioxide is in low supply in the water. This is also why blooms form near the surface of the water.


**Biotic Factors:** Zebra mussels and zooplankton (microscopic, free-floating animals) are filter feeding organisms that strain algae and other substances out of the lake water for food. Studies have shown that filter-feeding organisms often reject blue green algae and feed selectively on more desirable algae. Over time, and given enough filter feeding organisms, a lake will experience a net loss in “good” algae and a gain in “bad” blue green algae as the “good” algae are consumed and the “bad” algae are rejected back into the water column. This is one of the most disturbing factors associated with the invasion and proliferation of zebra mussel. Lakes that are full of zebra mussel may not support the production of “good” algae and experience a partial collapse of the system of “good” algae that are necessary to support the fishery.

## Appendix B: Common Aquatic Invasive Species

### **Eurasian Watermilfoil and Hybrids (Ebrids):**

**Background:** Anecdotal evidence suggests that hybrid milfoil has been found in Michigan inland lakes for a long time (since the late 1980's). University of Connecticut professor Dr. Don Les was the first to determine that there were indeed, Eurasian watermilfoil and northern watermilfoil hybrids in Michigan based on samples sent to his Connecticut lab by Dr. Douglas Pullman, Aquest Corp. in 2003. Experience has proven that it is usually not possible to determine whether the milfoil observed is either Eurasian or hybrid genotype. However, because they play such similar roles in lake ecology, they are simply “lumped together” and referred to collectively as ebrid milfoil. Ebrid milfoil is a very common nuisance in many Michigan inland lakes.

**Management:** Lake disturbance, such as weed control, unusual weather, and heavy lake use can destabilize the lake ecosystem and encourage the sudden nuisance bloom of weeds, like ebrid milfoil. Ebrid milfoil is an ever-present threat to the stable biological diversity of the lake ecosystem. Species selective, systemic herbicide combinations have been used to successfully suppress the nuisance production of ebrid milfoil and support the production of a more desirable flora. However, it is becoming much more resistant to all herbicidal treatment. This resistance can be easily defeated with the use of microbiological system treatments. This is done with only a minor increase in cost. Milfoil community genetics are dynamic, not static, and careful monitoring is needed to adapt to the expected changes in the dominance of distinct milfoil genotypes. Some of these genotypes may be more herbicide resistant than others and treatment strategies must be adjusted to remain effective in different parts of the lake.



*Figure B1: Example Eurasian Watermilfoil and Hybrids images from the 2020 LakeScan™ field crew.*

## **Starry Stonewort**

**Background:** Starry stonewort invaded North American inland lakes after becoming established in the St. Lawrence Seaway/Great Lakes system. It has probably been present in Michigan’s inland lakes since the late 1990’s but was not positively identified until 2006 by Aquest Corporation in Lobdell Lake, Genesee County, MI. Since then, it has been discovered in lakes all over Michigan. It is truly an opportunistic species that will bloom AND crash and impose a very significant and deleterious impact on many ecosystem functions. Bloom and crash events are unpredictable and can happen at any time of the year. In some years starry stonewort can become a horrendous nuisance while it can be inconspicuous in others. It can come along with other similar species and be very difficult to find when it is not blooming.

**Management:** Starry stonewort is capable of growing to extreme nuisance levels. It is easy to kill, but very difficult to treat. It grows so rapidly that mechanical methods of control are strongly discouraged. First, starry stonewort can regrow so rapidly after cutting that it can be nearly impossible to keep up with the nuisance production of this fast-growing plant. Mechanical controls can also help to disperse and spread starry stonewort throughout inland lakes when the plant is fragmented. It is even more disturbing that desirable plant species are more susceptible to mechanical control strategies than starry stonewort and mechanical controls can thereby select for the dominance of starry stonewort over a much more desirable flora. Starry stonewort is susceptible to most selective algaecides, but the dense mats of vegetation are very difficult to penetrate and provide reasonable biocide exposure. Consequently, multiple algaecide applications may be required to “whittle down” dense starry stonewort growth if the mats reach sufficient height.



*Figure B2: Example starry stonewort images from the 2020 LakeScan™ field crew.*

# Appendix C. Herbicide Treatment Maps




Figure C1. 2022 mechanical harvesting area map. 22Harv 10 LwrSts v3




Figure C2. 2022 first herbicide application area map. 22Herb 10 LwrSts v2






Figure C3. 2022 second herbicide application and harvesting area map. 22Herb 20 LwrSts v5




Figure C4. 2022 waterlily herbicide application area map. 22Herb 40 LwrSts v6